Topology of Vacuum Space-Time

Y. M. Cho*
Center for Theoretical Physics and School of Physics,
College of Natural Sciences,
Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

We present a topological classification of vacuum space-time. Assuming the 3-dimensional space allows a global chart, we show that the static vacuum space-time of Einstein's theory can be classified by the knot topology $\pi_3(S^3) = \pi_3(S^2)$. Viewing Einstein's theory as a gauge theory of Lorentz group and identifying the gravitational connection as the gauge potential of Lorentz group, we construct all possible vacuum gravitational connections which give a vanishing curvature tensor. With this we show that the vacuum connection has the knot topology, the same topology which describes the multiple vacua of $SU(2)$ gauge theory. We discuss the physical implications of our result in quantum gravity.

PACS numbers:

Keywords: topology of vacuum space-time, classification of vacuum space-time

arXiv:hep-th/0703016v1 2 Mar 2007

I. INTRODUCTION

Gauge theories and general relativity have many things in common. Both have highly non-linear self-interaction. This is not accidental. Actually they are based on the same principle, the general invariance or equivalently the gauge invariance. The gauge theory is well-known to be a part of a higher-dimensional gravity which originates from the extrinsic crvature of a non-trivial embedding of the 4-dimensional space-time to the $(4+n)$-dimensional unified space [1, 2, 3]. When the unified space has an n-dimensional isometry, the $(4+n)$-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action describes the gauge theory of the isometry group G coupled to the 4-dimensional Einstein's theory [2, 3]. Conversely, Einstein's theory itself can be viewed as a gauge theory, because the general invariance of Einstein's theory can be viewed as a gauge invariance [4, 5, 6, 7]. It can be viewed either as a gauge invariance of 4-dimensional translation group [4, 6], or as a gauge invariance of Lorentz group [5, 7].

On the other hand, it has been well-known that the non-Abelian gauge theory has a non-trivial topology. For example, the non-Abelian gauge theory admits magnetic monopoles which can be classified by the monopole topology $\pi_2(S^2)$ [8, 9]. Moreover, the non-Abelian gauge theory has a mutiple vacua which can be classified by the knot topology $\pi_3(S^3) = \pi_3(S^2)$ [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. If so, one might suspect that Einstein's theory should also allow simliar non-trivial topology. This turns out to be the case. *The purpose of this Letter is to demonstrate that Einstein's theory has exactly the same multiple vacua as the $SU(2)$ gauge theory. We show that, assuming the 3-dimensional space admits a global chart, the topology of the static vacuum space-time can be classified by the knot topology $\pi_3(S^3) = \pi_3(S^2)$.*

As we have remarked, Einstein's theory can be viewed as a gauge theory [4, 5]. There are two ways to view Einstein's theory as a gauge theory [6, 7]. It can be viewed as a gauge theory of the 4-dimensional translation group [6]. In this view the (non-trivial part of) the tetrad becomes the gauge potential of translation group. Or else it can be viewed as a gauge theory of Lorentz group [7]. In this view the gravitational connection (more precisely the spin connection) becomes the gauge potential of Lorentz group and the curvature tensor becomes the gauge field strength. Here we adopt the second view, and construct all possible vacuum gravitational connection which yields a vanishing curvature tensor (field strength). With this we show that the vacuum gravitational connection is described by the vacuum potential of the $SU(2)$ subgroup of Lorentz group, which confirms that the static vacuum space-time has the knot topology.

To understand the topology of vacuum space-time it is crucial to understand the topology of non-Abelian gauge theory. A best way to describe the topology of gauge theory is through the magnetic symmetry [8, 15]. In non-Abelian gauge theory it is well-known that one can restrict and/or decompose the gauge potential imposing a magnetic symmetry. Consider the $SU(2)$ gauge theory for simplicity, and let $\hat{n}$ be an arbitrary unit isotriplet. We can restrict the gauge potential imposing the following magnetic symmetry which dictates $\hat{n}$ to be invariant under the parallel transport [8, 15],

$$D_\mu \hat{n} = (\partial_\mu + g\vec{A}_\mu \times)\ \hat{n} = 0. \qquad (\hat{n}^2 = 1) \tag{1}$$

This selects the restricted potential $\hat{A}_\mu$,

$$\hat{A}_\mu = A_\mu \hat{n} - \frac{1}{g}\hat{n} \times \partial_\mu \hat{n}, \quad (A_\mu = \hat{n} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) \tag{2}$$

*Electronic address: ymcho@yongmin.snu.ac.kr

as the potential which satisfies (1). Such a condition is called a magnetic symmetry because this automatically projects out the potential which describes the non-Abelian monopole. Indeed with $A_\mu = 0$ and $\hat{n} = \hat{r}$, (2) describes the well-known Wu-Yang monopole [8, 9]. In this case the monopole topology $\pi_2(S^2)$ is described by $\hat{n}$ which defines the mapping from S^2 of 3-dimensional space surrounding the monopole core to the coset space $SU(2)/U(1)$ of $SU(2)$.

In gauge theory this way of projecting out the restricted potential of the maximal Abelian subgroup H of the gauge group G is called the Abelian projection [8, 15]. With the Abelian projection we can retrieve the full gauge potential simply by adding the gauge covariant valence potential $\vec{X}_\mu$ of the coset space G/H to $\hat{A}_\mu$,

$$\vec{A}_\mu = \hat{A}_\mu + \vec{X}_\mu. \tag{3}$$

This is called the Abelian decomposition. A nice feature of the Abelian decomposition is that it is gauge independent. Once the Abelian direction $\hat{n}$ is chosen, the decomposition follows automatically, independent of the choice of a gauge [8, 15]. As importantly the Abelian part $\hat{A}_\mu$ retains all topological properties of the gauge theory, because it has the full gauge degrees of freedom of G. The Abelian decomposition has played a crucial role for us to understand the non-Abelian dynamics, in particular the confinement mechanism in QCD [16, 17, 18, 19].

A remarkable feature of the magnetic symmetry is that it forms an isometry [2, 9], so that if we have two magnetic symmetries

$$D_\mu \hat{n}_1 = 0, \quad D_\mu \hat{n}_2 = 0, \tag{4}$$

we automatically have a third one $\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_2$,

$$D_\mu (\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_2) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Let $\hat{n}_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ be orthonormal isotriplets which form a right-handed basis $(\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_2 = \hat{n}_3)$, and let

$$\forall_i \quad D_\mu \hat{n}_i = 0. \tag{6}$$

Obviously this puts a strong restriction to the gauge potential and corresponding field strength. Indeed (6) requires the potential to have a vanishing field strength. This is because we have

$$\forall_i \quad [D_\mu,\ D_\nu]\ \hat{n}_i = g\vec{F}_{\mu\nu} \times \hat{n}_i = 0, \tag{7}$$

which requires $\vec{F}_{\mu\nu} = 0$. This tells that a vacuum potential must be the one which parallelizes the local orthonormal frame.

Solving (6) we obtain a most general $SU(2)$ vacuum potential

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{A}_\mu = \hat{\Omega}_\mu &= -C_\mu \hat{n} - \frac{1}{g}\hat{n} \times \partial_\mu \hat{n} = -C_\mu^k\ \hat{n}_k, \\ \frac{1}{g}\hat{n} \times \partial_\mu \hat{n} &= C_\mu^1\ \hat{n}_1 + C_\mu^2\ \hat{n}_2, \\ C_\mu^k &= -\frac{1}{2g}\epsilon_{ij}{}^k (\hat{n}_i \cdot \partial_\mu \hat{n}_j), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\hat{n} = \hat{n}_3$ and $C_\mu = C_\mu^3$. One can easily check that $\hat{\Omega}_\mu$ describes a vacuum

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Omega}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu \hat{\Omega}_\nu - \partial_\nu \hat{\Omega}_\mu + g\hat{\Omega}_\mu \times \hat{\Omega}_\nu \\ &= -(\partial_\mu C_\nu^k - \partial_\nu C_\mu^k + g\epsilon_{ij}{}^k C_\mu^i C_\nu^j)\ \hat{n}_k = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

This tells that $\hat{\Omega}_\mu$ or equivalently $(C_\mu^1, C_\mu^2, C_\mu^3)$ describe a classical $SU(2)$ vacuum. Notice that, although the vacuum is fixed by three isometries, it is essentially fixed by $\hat{n}$. This is because $\hat{n}_1$ and $\hat{n}_2$ are uniquely determined by $\hat{n}$, up to a $U(1)$ gauge transformation which leaves $\hat{n}$ invariant. With

$$\hat{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\alpha\cos\beta \\ \sin\alpha\sin\beta \\ \cos\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_\mu^1 &= \frac{1}{g}(\sin\gamma\partial_\mu\alpha - \sin\alpha\cos\gamma\partial_\mu\beta), \\ C_\mu^2 &= \frac{1}{g}(\cos\gamma\partial_\mu\alpha + \sin\alpha\sin\gamma\partial_\mu\beta), \\ C_\mu^3 &= \frac{1}{g}(\cos\alpha\partial_\mu\beta + \partial_\mu\gamma), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the angle γ represents the $U(1)$ angle which leaves $\hat{n}$ invariant.

A nice feature of (8) is that the topological character of the vacuum is naturally inscribed in it. The topological vacuum quantum number is given by the non-Abelian Chern-Simon index of the potential $\hat{\Omega}_\mu$ [11, 12, 14]

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= -\frac{3g^2}{8\pi^2}\int \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(C_\alpha^i \partial_\beta C_\gamma^i + \frac{g}{3}\epsilon_{ijk} C_\alpha^i C_\beta^j C_\gamma^k) d^3x \\ &= -\frac{g^3}{96\pi^2}\int \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\epsilon_{ijk} C_\alpha^i C_\beta^j C_\gamma^k d^3x, \\ &\qquad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, 2, 3) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

which represents the non-trivial vacuum topology $\pi_3(S^3)$ of the mapping from the compactified 3-dimensional space S^3 to the $SU(2)$ space S^3. But this vacuum topology can also be described by $\hat{n}$, because (with $\hat{n}(\infty) = (0, 0, 1)$) it defines the mapping $\pi_3(S^2)$ which can be transformed to $\pi_3(S^3)$ through the Hopf fibering [12, 14]. So both $\hat{\Omega}_\mu$ and $\hat{n}$ describe the vacuum topology of the $SU(2)$ gauge theory. But since $\hat{\Omega}_\mu$ is essentially fixed by $\hat{n}$ we can conclude that the vacuum topology is imprinted in $\hat{n}$.

Now, regarding Einstein's theory as a gauge theory of Lorentz group, we can find the most general vacuum of Einstein's theory by imposing the magnetic isomstry which gives a vanishing curvature tensor. Let $J_{ab} = -J_{ba}$ $(a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ be the six generators of Lorentz group,

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{ab},\ J_{cd}] &= f_{ab,cd}{}^{mn}\ J_{mn}, \\ f_{ab,cd}{}^{mn} &= \eta_{ac}\delta_b{}^{[m}\delta_d{}^{n]} - \eta_{bc}\delta_a{}^{[m}\delta_d{}^{n]} \\ &\quad + \eta_{bd}\delta_a{}^{[m}\delta_c{}^{n]} - \eta_{ad}\delta_b{}^{[m}\delta_c{}^{n]}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\eta_{ab} = diag\ (-1,1,1,1)$ is the Minkowski metric. Using the 3-dimensional rotation and boost generators L_i and K_i we can express the Lorentz algebra as

$$[L_i,\ L_j] = \epsilon_{ijk}L_k, \quad [L_i,\ K_j] = \epsilon_{ijk}K_k,$$
$$[K_i,\ K_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk}L_k. \quad (i,j,k = 1,2,3) \tag{14}$$

Now, let $\mathbf{p}$ (or p^{ab}) be an isosextet which forms an adjoint representation of Lorentz group, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ (or $\tilde{p}^{ab} = 1/2\epsilon_{abcd}\ p^{cd}$) be its dual partner. They can be expressed by two isotriplets $\vec{m}$ and $\vec{e}$, the magnetic and electric components of $\mathbf{p}$ which correspond to the 3-dimensional rotation and boost,

$$\mathbf{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{m} \\ \vec{e} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{e} \\ -\vec{m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{p}}} = -\mathbf{p}. \tag{15}$$

To proceed further let $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu$ (or $\Gamma_\mu{}^{ab}$) be the gauge potential of Lorentz group which describes the spin connection, and $\mathbf{R}_{\mu\nu}$ (or $R_{\mu\nu}{}^{ab}$) be the curvature tensor

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathbf{\Gamma}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu + \mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu \times \mathbf{\Gamma}_\nu, \tag{16}$$

where we have normalized the coupling constant to be the unit which one can always do without loss of generality. Now, consider the following magnetic isometry

$$D_\mu \mathbf{p} = (\partial_\mu + \mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu \times)\ \mathbf{p} = 0. \tag{17}$$

This automatically assures

$$D_\mu \tilde{\mathbf{p}} = (\partial_\mu + \mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu \times)\ \tilde{\mathbf{p}} = 0, \tag{18}$$

which tells that the magnetic isometry in Lorentz group always contains the dual partner. To verify this we decompose the gauge potential $\mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu$ into the 3-dimensional rotation and boost parts $\vec{A}_\mu$ and $\vec{B}_\mu$, and let

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{A}_\mu \\ \vec{B}_\mu \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

With this both (17) and (18) can be written as [20]

$$D_\mu \vec{m} = \vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{e}, \quad D_\mu \vec{e} = -\vec{B}_\mu \times \vec{m},$$
$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + \vec{A}_\mu \times . \tag{20}$$

This confirms that (17) and (18) are actually identical to each other, which tells that the magnetic isometry in Lorentz group must be even-dimensional.

Now, we can project out the vacuum connection which yields a vanishing curvature tensor with a proper magnetic symmetry. Let $\mathbf{l}_i$ and $\mathbf{k}_i$ $(i = 1,2,3)$ be the unit sextet vector fields which describe the rotation and the boost, which form an orthonormal basis of the adjoint representation of Lorentz group. And let $\hat{n}_i$ be the orthonormal isotriplets of $SU(2)$ as before. Identifying $SU(2)$ as a subgroup of Lorentz group, we have

$$\mathbf{l}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{n}_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{k}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \hat{n}_i \end{pmatrix} = -\tilde{\mathbf{l}}_i. \tag{21}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbf{l}_i \cdot \mathbf{l}_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad \mathbf{l}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_j = 0, \quad \mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_j = -\delta_{ij},$$
$$\mathbf{l}_i \times \mathbf{l}_j = \epsilon_{ijk}\mathbf{l}_k, \quad \mathbf{l}_i \times \mathbf{k}_j = \epsilon_{ijk}\mathbf{k}_k,$$
$$\mathbf{k}_i \times \mathbf{k}_j = -\epsilon_{ijk}\mathbf{l}_k. \tag{22}$$

Now, it must be clear that the magnetic isometry which selects the vacuum space-time is given by

$$\forall_i \quad D_\mu \mathbf{l}_i = 0, \tag{23}$$

or equivalently

$$\forall_i \quad D_\mu \mathbf{k}_i = -D_\mu \tilde{\mathbf{l}}_i = 0. \tag{24}$$

Actually the vacuum need both. But one is enough because one assures the other, since $\mathbf{k}_i = -\tilde{\mathbf{l}}_i$.

In 3-dimensional notation (23) or (24) can be written as

$$\forall_i \quad D_\mu \hat{n}_i = \vec{B}_\mu \times \hat{n}_i, \quad D_\mu \hat{n}_i = -\vec{B}_\mu \times \hat{n}_i. \tag{25}$$

Clearly this has the solution

$$\vec{A}_\mu = \hat{\Omega}_\mu, \quad \vec{B}_\mu = 0. \tag{26}$$

where $\hat{\Omega}_\mu$ (with $g = 1$) is precisly the vacuum potential (8) of the $SU(2)$ subgroup. So the vacuum connection $\mathbf{\Omega}_\mu$ which yields vanishing curvature tensor is given by

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_\mu = \mathbf{\Omega}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Omega}_\mu \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

This proves that the gravitational connection of the vacuum space-time in Einstein's theory is fixed by the rotational part (or the magnetic component) of the spin connection which describes the multiple vacua of $SU(2)$ gauge theory. This assures that the topology of the static vacuum connection is identicsl to the topology of the vacuum potential in $SU(2)$ gauge theory, which can be classified by the knot topology $\pi_3(S^3) = \pi_3(S^2)$.

Notice that in general (27) has meaning only locally section-wise. So for the topological classification we must assume that (just as in gauge theory) the 3-dimensional space has a global chart. Another point to keep in mind is that the vacuum space-time is described in terms of the connection, not the metric. To obtain the vacuum metric, we must convert the vacuum connection to the vacuum metric, solving the first-order equation of the spin connection expressed in terms of the tetrad. But we emphasize that the topology of vacuum space-time becomes transparent only in terms of the connection. And for the topology of the vacuum we do not need the metric, which only complicates and obsecures the problem.

We have shown how the magnetic isometry allows us to construct all possible vacuum cinnections of Einstein's theory. The magnetic isometry also allows us to make the Abelian decomposition of Einstein's theory [20]. Imposing the magnetic isometry which selects the restricted

connection of the maximal Abelian subgroup of Lorentz group, we can have the Abelian decomposition of Einstein's theory without compromising the general invariance. In this decomposition Einstein's theory can be interpreted as a theory of restricted gravity made of the restricted connection, which has the valence connection as the gravitational source [20]. Einstein's theory allows two different Abelian decompositions, the space-like decomposition and the light-like decomposition, because Lorentz group has two maximal Abelian subgroups.

Clearly our result raises more questions. A first question is the monopole topology in Einstein's theory. The above analysis strongly indicates that the topology of Einstein's theory is closely related to the topology of $SU(2)$ gauge theory. This implies that Einstein's theory should also have the $\pi_2(S^2)$ topology which describes a gravito-magnetic monopole [21, 22]. It would be interesting to see how one can embed the non-Abelian monopole in Einstein's theory to obtain the gravito-magnetic monopole.

Another question is the vacuum tunneling in quantum gravity. It has been well-known that the multiple vacua in gauge theory are unstable against quantum fluctuation, because they are connected by instantons [10, 11, 12]. If so, one may ask whether we can have the gravito-instantons in Einstein's theory which can connect topologically distinct vacuum space-times. The answer is not obvious, because Einstein's theory and gauge theory have an important difference. In gauge theory the Yang-Mills action is quadratic in field strength, but in Einstein's theory the Einstein-Hilbert action is linear in curvature tensor. Moreover, in gauge theory the fundamental field which propagates is the gauge potential but in Einstein's theory the fundamental field which propagates is the metric (not the connection) [3, 7]. So, even though one can view Einstein's theory as a gauge theory, the Einstein's eqation is not of Yang-Mills type. This, of course, does not rule out the gravito-instanton. There is a real possibility that such instanton could exist. Here we simply remark that candidates of the "gravitational instanton" in Einstein's theory which has finite Euclidian action have been proposed before, but without any reference to the multiple vacua and the quantum tunneling [23].

The discussions on these questions and related subjects will be presented in a separate paper [24].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work is supported in part by the ABRL Program (Grant R14-2003-012-01002-0) and by the International Coorperation Program of Korea Science and Enginering Foundation.

[1] T. Kaluza, Sitzber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 966 (1921); O. Klein, Z. Physik **37**, 895 (1926).
[2] Y. M. Cho, J. Math. Phys. **16**, 2029 (1975); Y. M. Cho and Pongsoo Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D12**, 3789 (1975).
[3] Y. M. Cho and P. G. O. Freund, Phys. Rev. **D12**, 1711 (1975).
[4] T. W. B. Kibble, J. Math. Phys. **2**, 212 (1961); D. W. Sciama, Rev. Mod. Phys. **36**, 463 (1964).
[5] R. Utiyama, Phys. Rev. **101**, 1597 (1956); M. Carmelli, J. Math. Phys. **11**, 2728 (1970); R. Utiyama and T. Fukuyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **45**, 612 (1971).
[6] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. **D14**, 2521 (1976); See also *100 Years of Gravity and Accelerated Frames—- The Deepest Insights of Einstein and Yang-Mills*, edited by J. P. Hsu, World Scientific (Singapore) 2006.
[7] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. **D14**, 3335 (1976). See also, F. Hehl, J. McCrea, E. Mielke, and Y. Ne'eman, Phys. Rep. **258**, 1 (1995).
[8] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. **D21**, 1080 (1980); Phys. Rev **D62**, 074009 (2000).
[9] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1115 (1980); Phys. Lett. **B115**, 125 (1982); Y. M. Cho and D. Maison, Phys. Lett. **B391**, 360 (1997).
[10] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwartz, and Y. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. **B59**, 85 (1975); Y. M. Cho, Phys. Lett. **B81**, 25 (1979).
[11] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976); R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, *ibid.*, 172 (1976); C. Callan, R. Dashen, and D. G. Gross, Phys. Lett. **B63**, 334 (1976).
[12] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Lett. **B81**, 25 (1979).
[13] P. van Baal and A. Wipf, Phys. Lett. **B515**, 181 (2001).
[14] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Lett. **B644**, 208 (2006).
[15] Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 302 (1981); Phys. Rev. **D23**, 2415 (1981); W. S. Bae, Y. M. Cho, and S. W. Kimm, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 025005 (2002).
[16] Y. M. Cho, H. W. Lee, and D. G. Pak, Phys. Lett. **B 525**, 347 (2002); Y. M. Cho and D. G. Pak, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 074027 (2002).
[17] Y. M. Cho, D. G. Pak, and M. Walker, JHEP **05**, 073 (2004); Y. M. Cho and M. Walker, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A19**, 2707 (2004).
[18] L. Faddeev and A. Niemi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1624 (1999); S. Shabanov, Phys. Lett. **B458**, 322 (1999); H. Gies, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 125023 (2001); R. Zucchini, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **1**, 813 (2004).
[19] K. Kondo, Phys. Lett. **B600**, 287 (2004); K. Kondo, T. Murakami, and T. Shinohara, Euro Phys. J. **C42**, 475 (2005); S. Kato *et. al.*, Phys. Lett. **B632**, 326 (2006).
[20] Y. M. Cho, S. W. Kim, and J. H. Kim, hep-th/0702200.
[21] A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2379 (1985); Y. M. Cho, in *Proceedings of XXV International Conference on High Energy Physics*, edited by Y. Yamaguchi and K. K. Phua, World Scientific (Singapore) 1991.
[22] E. Newman, L. Tamburino, and Unti, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 915 (1963); C. Misner, *ibid.*, 924 (1963); J. Dowker, Gen. Rel. Grav. **5**, 603 (1974); S. Ramaswamy and A. Sen, J. Math. Phys. **22**, 2612 (1981).
[23] See, for example, T. Eguchi, P. Gilkey, and A. Hanson, Phys. Rep. **66**, 213 (1980).
[24] Y. M. Cho, to be published.